

REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

Claims 49, 51, 52-55, 57, 59, and 61-99 are pending in this application. By this Reply, claims 61, 64, 69, 79 and 84 are amended, and new claims 95-99 are added.

The title is objected to as non-descriptive. By this Reply, the title has been amended to --PLASMA DISPLAY PANEL HAVING DIFFERENTLY SHAPED TRANSPARENT ELECTRODES--. It is respectfully submitted that this title is more descriptive than the one suggested in the Office Action since not all embodiments described in the specification include link connecting neighboring transparent electrodes. Approval of the amended title is respectfully requested.

Claims 49, 51-55, 57 and 59 are indicated as allowed. However, the remaining claims stand rejected as follows:

(1) Claims 69-71, 73, 75, and 90 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. §102(b) over Yoshida (JP 2001-325887);

(2) Claim 61-68, 72, 74 and 89 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) over Yoshida in view of Amemiya (U.S. Patent No. 5,640,068);

(3) Claims 76-78 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) over Yoshida in view of Betsui et al. (U.S. Patent No. 5,825,128);

(4) Claims 79-88, and 91-94 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) over Yoshida in view of Betsui et al and further in view of Amemiya.

The above rejections are respectfully traversed to the extent that they may be applicable to amended independent claim 61, 64, 69, 79 and 84.

The base reference Yoshida et al. fails to disclose or teach a plurality of black layers formed on the upper substrate and each black layer being formed in the first direction between adjacent bus electrodes. Based on the disclosure and description of Figures 1-15 of Yoshida, the plasma display panel of Yoshida can not include such black layers based on the structure of the plasma display panel of Yoshida. Further, there is no teaching or motivation in either Amemiya or Betsui et al. to incorporate such black layers between adjacent bus electrodes formed in the first direction. Hence, neither Yoshida, Amemiya nor Betsui et al. alone or in combination would disclose or teach such black layers. Further, there is no motivation to include such black layers in Yoshida based on the plasma panel structure of Yoshida.

It is respectfully submitted that the subject matter recited in independent claims 61, 64, 69, 79 and 84 and their respective dependent claims are novel and not obvious. Hence, withdrawal of the Sections 102 and 103 rejections is respectfully requested.

CONCLUSION

In view of the foregoing amendments and remarks, it is respectfully submitted that the application is in condition for allowance. Favorable consideration and prompt allowance are earnestly solicited.

Serial No. **10/743,782**

Docket No. **YHK-0123**

Amendment dated **July 23, 2007**

Reply to Office Action of **January 26, 2007**

If the Examiner believes that any additional changes would place the application in better condition for allowance, the Examiner is invited to contact the undersigned attorney, **Daniel Y.J. Kim**, at the telephone number listed below.

To the extent necessary, a petition for an extension of time under 37 C.F.R. 1.136 is hereby made. Please charge any shortage in fees due in connection with the filing of this, concurrent and future replies, including extension of time fees, to Deposit Account 16-0607 and please credit any excess fees to such deposit account.

Respectfully submitted,
KED & ASSOCIATES, LLP

Daniel Y.J. Kim
Registration No. 36,186

Correspondence Address:
P.O. Box 221200
Chantilly, VA 20153-1200
703 766-3777 DYK/dak

Date: July 23, 2007

Please direct all correspondence to Customer Number 34610

\\\\Fk4\\Documents\\2017\\2017-111\\125273_2.doc